

Department of Energy

Richland Operations Office P.O. Box 550 Richland, Washington 99352

96-PAD-63684

Mr. Ralph Arcaro DNFSB Technical Staff 625 Indiana Avenue, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20004

Dear Mr. Arcaro:

TANK WASTE REMEDIATION SYSTEM PRIVATIZATION

Attached is a note from Mr. Don Vieth which transmits to you a copy of a briefing package used for discussions with representatives of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The discussions focused on Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) privatization. If additional assistance or more information is desired, you may contact me at (509) 376-1890, or contact Mr. Vieth, TWRS, at (509) 373-9189.

Sincerely,

Inine

S. L. Trine, RL/DNFSB Liaison Performance Assessment Division

PAD:SLT

Enclosure

cc w/ encl: D. Gupta, EM-38 M. A. Mikolanis, EH-9 J. C. Tseng, EM-4 M. B. Whitaker, EH-9 L. M. Morgan, PAI December 26, 1995

To: Ralph Arcaro

Subject: Copy of Briefing Package used with NRC

One of the issues we are dealing with is who should provide for the radiological and nuclear safety regulation of the TWRS privatized vendors processing the highlevel waste. The position outlined in the Draft RFP is that DOE/RL will do it. There is consideration now by the top management of the NRC, the Chairman of the Commission, encouraged by the Secretary of Energy and the Assistant Secretary for ES&H, to have NRC take over the regulation of Phase I.

We had a meeting with Jim Taylor and Hugh Thompson pursuant to their expressing interest and provided a detailed briefing of the situation to help them understand the full ramifications of what they will be getting into. Attached per your request is a copy of the briefing package we used.

The staff, under Carl Paperiello's direction has been considering the issue for the NRC. I understand that a paper (SECY - ?) has been prepared and sent forward on Thursday or Friday of last week. You might want to get a copy. As I remember, the rule governing the avaiability of these papers, is, they are public documents and become available after the Commissioners have about 5 days to review the material.

If you have any questions about the material, please let me know.

Yud,

Don Vieth

c.c. John Tseng (w/o encl) Densesh Gupta (w/o encl) Sandy Trine (w/o encl) Mike Micholinas (w/o encl)

Eanford Tank Waste Remediation System Privatization

Briefing for Hugh Thompson Deputy Executive Director for Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards and Operations Support December 4, 1995

by

Dr. Donald L. Vieth, Senior Technical Advisor TWRS Program Richland Operations Office U.S. Department of Energy

TWRS Privatization

WRS Privatization - Outline of Briefing

- General background on Hanford tank situation
- a Tank Waste Remediation System
- T Concept of Privatization
- Thotivation Factors behind Privatization
- Potential Benefit of Privatization
- Fensibility of Privatization TWRS
- Procurement Process for Privatization Operations of TWRS
- Schedule Implementation and Demands
- Radiological and Nuclear Safety Regulation Requirements



Doerall Perspective of TWRS- ' Manford Tank Waste Situation

- 7 Tank farms in 200 West area (83 Single-shell, 3 Double-shell tanks)
- Tank farms in 200 East area (66 Single-shell, 25 Double-shell tanks)
- E 149 Single-shell tanks (150 MCi/180,000MT of solids)
- = 28 Double-shell tanks (92 MCi/50,000 MT of solids)
- = 1,948 Capsules of SrF₂ and CsCl₂ (150 MCi)
- 52 Tanks of "Watch List" (46 Single-shell, 6 Double-shell tanks)
 - € 20 Ferrocyanide tanks (1 Kg-mole)
 - c 25 Hydrogen/flammable gas tanks
 - e 10 Organic constituents tanks
 - e 1 High-heat Tank
 - 57 Assumed leakers



Tank Ages, Factor Potential Risks

. .













TWRS) Program Mission

The mission of the TWRS Program is to manage, retrieve, meat, immobilize and dispose of highly radioactive tank waste in a safe, environmentally sound, and cost-effective manner.

The Program's prime responsibility is to conceive, develop, design, construct and operate the <u>physical system</u> to retrieve and process the waste to convert it into durable solids suitable for disposal.



Schematic Diagram -Tank Waste Remediation System





()



WRS - What is in the Tanks

Liquids

Supernatant

(soluble salts plus sufficient water to make solution)

Solids

Saltcake

(Soluble salts without sufficient water to make a solution)

Insoluble compounds which must be slurried)

TWRS Privatization 69945511/27-11

TWRS -

. .

Mass Processing Considerations



WRS - Important Technical Parameters

. *

Waste Chemistry

| Cations | | Anions | |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-----------|
| Na | 68270 MT | NO₃ | 1100C0 MT |
| A | 4840 MT | ОН | 12980 MT |
| P | 1880 MT | NO2 | 10560 MT |
| Fe | 819 MT | CO ₃ | 2730 MT |
| Si | 506 MT | F | 1166 MT |
| Cr | 165 MT | CI | 486 MT |

Point: sodium will dominate the production of low-activity waste release of NOx will create a signification requirement for emissions control

TWRS Privatization

TWRS: Important Technical Parameters Soda Glass Leaching

()

| Percent Soda % Na ₂ O | Performance Measure Leach Rate (Na g/m ₂ /week) |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| 15 | 0.15 |
| 20 | 0.4 |
| 25 | 1.5 |
| 30 | 6.0 |
| 35 | 35.0 |

Higher soda content, smaller amount of waste, lower total cost but poorer performance

Lower soda content, better performance, larger amount of waste but higher cost (if unit price is based on mass of solid waste)

TWRS Privatization

Cocus on Privatization-

Classical Definition

• The Government, which runs a major service operations that services large client populations, sells the operations to a private sector organization so they can provide this service. The Government allows the market forces to define the price, nature, and quality of the service.

TWRS Definition

 Vendors, under contract with the Department of Energy (DOE), to provide a service use private funding to design, permit, construct, operate, decontaminate and decommission their own equipment and facilities to treat tank waste, and receive payment when producing products meeting DOE's performance specifications.

TWRS Privatization

69945511/27-15

Requirements for TWRS Privatization

- Ownership of facilities, processes and
 Ownership of vendor
- Deliverables purchased against a cuantifiable/measurable specification

Note: Must be done within business framework that provides for continuous competition



۲

Two Phased Structure of TWRS Privatization

- Proof-of-Concept phase (Phase I)
- Solid III-Scale production phase (Phase II)



69545511/27-17

Concept of Phased Approach TWRS Privatization



Tank Waste Remediation System Privatization Approach





Assurance of a Competitive Framework

- Two waste process lines operating
 simultaneously
- acilities

Note Basis for maintaining continuous competition



Development of Strategy-Objectives of TWRS Privatization

- Reduction of total cost of achieving tank waste remediation
- Improvement on schedule
- Improvement in quality of interim and final products



Summary of Motivating Factors

{•<u>₹</u>•`

- Vice President Gore's initiative to reinvent government
- DOE Contract Reform Initiative
- a Private Industry's approach to the Department of Energy
- Budgets continuing to decline (not bottomed out yet)
 - Cost of Baseline Program
 - Tri-Party Agreement Case Beta cost estimated at \$36B
 - Program estimate \$40B



Thanges in the American Condition

- Escalating Federal Deficit
- Escalating Cost of Government
- Subsequent Changes—and still a dynamic situation
- Theme of Change



Contract Reform Initiative

- ¬ Pay for performance
- = Fixed Price contracting
- Build versus Buy alternative
- Tiered Fee structure
- n Incentive Fees
- Privatize "Routine Operations"



Genesis of Privatization Review of TWRS

- ECA concept to privatize the High-Level Waste Vitrification Plant (Summer 1993)
- Evaluation Requirements codified as TPA milestone (M-51-01-T01)
- Implication: Private company, willing to finance and take
 on responsibilities to fulfill commitments in Hanford
 Hederal Facility Agreement and Consent Order
- Outcome: Evaluate Privatization of TWRS



Principles Embedded in TWRS Privatization

: Fulfill TPA commitments

- 5 Shifts significant responsibility, accountability, and liability to vendor
- Makes vendor responsible and accountable for cost and technical performance
- Plant/operation, vendor owned, vendor operated
- Vendor responsible for environmental protection/compliance
- No reduction to worker/general public safety/health protection
- Government purchases products/services against performance specifications
- **Acquisition of products/services under fixed price contract**
- Establish competitive framework for cost control
- Reduction of life cycle cost
- 22 Pl ased learning/continuous improvements starting with pilot plants
 - Vendors own sufficient technology; Department focus on high risk, potentially high payoff technology development

Logic in Making a Decision to Privatize TWRS

□ Approach to evaluation

- Feasibility
- Desirability

Concept of Feasibility

is it **possible** to successfully - privatize TWRS?

From the big picture, are there any major hurdles that are clear show stoppers to the effort?

- Concept of Desirability

Will we <u>really benefit</u> if we successfully execute this effort?

Do we really understand all the details that have to be addressed and can they be accomplished in a fashion that makes the effort effective?



TWRS Privatization

Tasis for Feasibility Determination

. .

- Factors considered
 - TPA Schedule
 - Regulatory Framework
 - Financiability
 - Budget/Funding
 - Cost
 - Vendor Interest
 - Performance Specification
 - Definition of waste feedstock
 - ^a Technical implementation
 - Definition of Objectives
- Evaluate both phases
 - Used "Reference System" as a basis for evaluation
 - Bottom line it is *feasible* to privatize TWRS but it will be a challenge to meet all the boundary conditions



WRS Privatization Fundamental Concepts Considered

Option 1

- Elementary system with maximum flexibility in terms of facility configuration to handle wide variety of currently ill-defined process situations and requirements
- Option 2
- Progressively tailored chemical processing campaigns in modular distributed units based upon good knowledge and technical understanding of waste



Reference System used to Evaluate Feasibility

()



NEPA Requirements

- NEPA review must be complete before implementing action but is not required to initiate the procurement process
- The Department is looking at two primary paths to provide NEPA coverage for the proposed privatization
 - Perform a supplement analysis to determine whether the action is covered by the 1987 Hanford Defense Waste (HDW) EIS or whether a supplemental HDW EIS is needed
 - Accelerate the schedule for the TWRS EIS which is already in preparation, and assure that it provides the NEPA coverage
 - NEPA Record of Decision would be required prior to contract award



Tri-Party Agreement Requirements

Secting the Department's Long-term commitments is the most critical concern

Benchmark milestones used to evaluate ability to meet Department's Long-term commitments

(1)

| Start hot operations of LAW pretreatment facility to remove Cs and Sr | 12/31/04 |
|---|------------------|
| Initiate hot operation of the LAW vitrification facility | 06/3 0/05 |
| Start hot operations of HLW pretreatment facility | 06/30/08 |
| Initiate hot operations of HLW vitrification facility | 12/31/09 |
| Retrieve waste from all SSTs | 09/30/18 |
| Complete closure of SST Farms | 09/30/24 |
| Complete pretreatment processing of Hanford tank waste | 12/31/28 |
| Complete vitrification of HLW | 12/31/28 |
| Complete vitrification of LLW | 12/31/28 |

TIVRS Privatization

69945511/27-32

()

, ·

Vendor Interest

- Most vendors support privatization but express concern with implementation
- Recommendation that DOE hire expert financial, legal and systems engineering advisors to ensure privatization success
- Most vendors indicated it was critical that the federal government ensured funds would be available and are adequate to cover costs
- most vendors accepted the Tri-Party Agreement as a constraint
- Many vendors consider regulatory uncertainties a major risk in terms of potential delays unacceptable to financiers


Financial Requirements

Hired financial advisor

FWRS Privatization

- Privatization of TWRS is potentially feasible if DOE can
 - Define the product it ultimately wants to buy
 - > Define the tank waste stream within reasonable bounds
 - Define the regulatory framework in which the facilities will be designed, built, operated, decontaminated, and decommissioned
 - Define the treated waste product performance specifications and empty tank standard
 - Financially guarantee that if DOE terminates the contract, invested capital with some profit is paid to the vendor

. .

()

Regulatory Framework

Taree components of regulatory oversight

- Environmental Protection & Compliance Standard Washington State permitting requirements
- Occupational Safety and Health
 - Federal Agency Occupational Safety & Health Administration State Agency - Washington Industrial Safety & Health Administration
- Radiological Safety
 - Committee on External Regulation of DOE Nuclear Facilities
 - Phase I: Department of Energy-Regulation
 - Phase II: Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regulation

TWRS Privatization

Definition of Objectives

- Eull-Scale Production Phase
 - » Complete remediation within TPA schedule or sooner

 $\left\{ \cdot \right\}$

. .

- Accomplish task in economical manner (below current estimated cost for baseline)
- •Vendor's objectives still to be defined
- Proof-of-Concept/Commercial Demonstration Scale Phase
 - « Vendor's objectives still to be defined
 - Learn/demonstrate capabilities
 - Department's objectives
 - Learn how to make privatization work
 - General objectives
 - Technical objectives
 - Procurement objectives
 - Cost objectives

TWRS Privatization

6994/3S11/27-36

Objectives for Proof-of-Concept

- E General
 - Establish confidence that TPA milestones can be achieved
 - © Demonstrated there is a commercially viable business
- Technical
 - Demonstrate production throughput, process efficiency and radionuclide removal
 - Understand and overcome unanticipated problems
- a Procurement
 - Establish conditions sufficient to write good contracts for Phase II
- n Cost
 - Develop pricing for deliverables
 - o Understand framework to keep costs down



Objectives for Proof-of-Concept Specific Technical Objectives

 (\cdot,\cdot)

- Name-plate production capacity test
- solid/liquid separation
- Fission production removal efficiency
- n TRU removal
- The Effects of organics on operations



6994S:>11/27-38

Basic Concept for Initiating TWRS Privatization (Phase I)

- Competitive solicitation for two contractors owned, contractor operated services (including facilities) to process and deliver solidified lowactivity waste (high-level waste processing maintained as an option)
- Divide Phase I into two stages
 - Design, radiological safety review, permitting
 - Construction/Operations
- Make pre-determined capital payment to each contractor when design and radiological safety reviews are completed
- Critical factor in evaluating proposals
 - Fixed price cost for design of facilities
 - Fixed price cost for delivery of products (final and interim)
 - Adjustment (downward) of fixed price cost for delivery of products at completion of design

TWRS Frivatization

Basic Concept for Initiating TWRS Privatization (continued)

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

Hold contractors responsible for technical/cost
 performance and pay only for treated waste products
 meeting contract performance/product specifications,
 once plant begins operation

TWRS Privatization

Proof-of-Concept Phase-General Concept



6994:511/27-41

Proof-of-Concept Phase-Impact of Limited Funding on Scope of Effort





In Initial Proposal

- Not to Exceed Price

At 3 to 2 Downselect

- Firm Fixed Price

Note: Need to have regulatory uncertainties minimized to get best price



Schedule - Near Term: Privatization Execution for Phase I



Schedule - Long Term: Privatization Execution for Phase I





Endiological and Nuclear Safety: Ceneral Situation

- High-level radioactive waste being processed; commercial market operation would have to be licensed by NRC
- Technology and processes to be proposed to handle waste not fully revealed to DOE by potential contractor
 - Need to remain flexible to accommodate new technology and processes
- DOE not likely to know specifics of technology or processes (which may be proprietary) until proposals are received
- Requirements to effect safety not to be established without reasonable knowledge of the proposed approaches



General schedule for implementing both phases of TWRS Privatization

()



W IS Privalization

69945S11/2 '-46

Radiological and Nuclear Safety

Regulatory Time Line



) (

Radiological and Nuclear Safety

Regulatory Compliance Program Deliverables

| Eleveription of Regulatory Compliance Program Deliverable | Reference | Part A Deliverables | Fart B Deliverables | |
|--|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | Start of Construction | Start of Hot Operations |
| Pocess & Facility Design | DOE/RL-DSRP | Draft | Final | Revision |
| Description | Standard 2 | | | |
| In ograted Standards-Based Safety | DOE/RL-STDP | Draft | Final | Final |
| Manage neut Plan | DNFSB 95-2 | | • | |
| Mards Analysis | DOE-STD-3009 | Final | Revision 1 | Revision 2 |
| Standards and Requirements | DOE/RL-STDP | Final | Final | Final |
| Hentification Focument | | | | |
| RedBation Exposure Standard for | DOE/RL-TLSR | Draft | Draft | Final |
| Werners Under Accident conditions | | | | |
| Quality Assurance Plan | 10 CFR 830.120 | Final | Final | Final |
| Training & Qualification Program Plan | 10 CFR 830.330 | Draft ' | Final | Rev. 1 |
| Safety Analysis Report | 10 CFR 830.110 | Preliminary Draft | Preliminary | Final , |
| | • | | Draft, Rev. 1 | |
| Unreviewed Safety Questions Plan | 10 CFR 830.112 | Draft | Final | Final |
| Cecurrence Reporting & Processing | 10 CFR 830.350 | Draft | Final | Final |
| cf Operating Information Plan | | | | |
| Contractor Occupational Medical Plan | DOE Order 440.1 | | Draft | Final |
| On-Site Transportation of Radioactive | DOE Order 460.1 | Draft | Draft | Final |
| i daterial: Plan | | | | T . 1 |
| amployee Concerns Management | DOE Order | Final | Final | Final |
| System | 5480.29 | | 1 74 1 | T ¹ = 1 |
| Ha cardous & Mixed Waste | DOE Order | | Final | Final |
| Handling Plan | 5400.3 | | | |

TWRS Privatization

Radiological and Nuclear Safety

Regulatory Compliance Program Deliverables (continued)

| Description of Regulatory Compliance Program | Reference | Part A Deliverables | Part B Deliverables | |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| | | | Start of Construction | Start of Hot Operations |
| RCRA Part B Permit Application NEFA C-2 Analysis (Supplement) Regular Operational Regulatory Reports Conduct of Operations Plan Thel nical Safety Requirements Document Maintenanch Management Program Plan Operational Readiness Review Plan Dackfit/Plant Modification Plan Emergency Response Plan Constituents Accountability Plan Endionuclices and Hazardous Donstituents Accountability Plan Enancial Viability for D&D Decontamination & Lecommissioning/RCRA Closhre/Site Restoration Plan | WAC 173-303-806 10 CFR 1021.314(c) DOE/RL-DSRP 10 CFR 830.310 10 CFR 830.320 10 CFR 830.340 DOE/RL-DSRP DOE/RL-DSRP Sce Note 1 below Sce Note 2 below Sce Note 3 below DOE/RL-DSRP DOE/RL-DSRP | Final Draft Draft —— Draft —— Draft —— Draft —— | Final Approved Final Final Final Construction Draft Draft Draft Final Draft Final Final Final Final | Final Final Final Final Final Final Final Final Final Final Final |

t - Forergency Response Plan shall comply with requirements of 40 CFR 68, 40 CFR 355, and DOE/RL 94-02, Revision 1.

Safeguards and Security Plan shall comply with requirements contained in the Top-Level Safeguards and Security Requirements for TWRS Privatization (see Section J).
 Radionuclifies and Hazardous Constituents Accountability Plan establishes the materials accountability basis for DOE to audit by weight and composition

all radionuclide and hazardous constituents in the facility. This is the documentation of material balances to assure no release of radiological the hazardous constituents.



OOE-NRC Discussion Regarding Support/Licensing of Privatized Facilities

<u>Date</u>

<u>Topic</u>

| licensing of DOE "privatized' operations | April 12, 1994 | Discussion on approach to licensing potential ECA high-level waste vitrification plant |
|--|--------------------|---|
| in privatization of TWRS facilities Briefing on DOE approach to privatizing portions of the Tank Waste Remediation | September 28, 1994 | Informal discussion regarding possible NRC licensing of DOE "privatized' operations |
| portions of the Tank Waste Remediation | March 6, 1995 | Brief NRC staff on the status and present plans in privatization of TWRS facilities |
| |) (ay 11, 1995 | portions of the Tank Waste Remediation |



TWRS Privatization

Estimates of Time to License and Permit



TWRS Privatization

Estimates of Time to License and Permit



 TWRS Prival zation
 6994:011/27 53

Radiological & Nuclear Safety

- Section J Explain the TWRS Privatization radiological and nuclear safety regulatory approach
- Section L Explains for vendors information to be presented in proposal related to their capability in radiological and nuclear sefety
- Section M Outlines for vendors the evaluation factors used to evaluate their radiological and nuclear safety approach and capabilities presented in proposal
- Section C Defines the deliverable under the contract that must be provided, including items important to radio ogical and nuclear safety (in a fixed-price contract every hing has to be specified up front in the scope of work)



Dividiological and Nuclear Safety Direction in RFP

- Section C Statemen: of Work Standards for Deliverables Under the Contract Standard 4: Regulatory Compliance Program
- Section H. Special Contract Requirement II-27 Radiological and Nuclear Safety Regulation (Stop Work)
- Section I Contract Clauses I AR 952.250-7 Nuclear Hazards Indemnification Agreement (January 1992) DEAR 952.223-72 Radiation Protection and Nuclear Criticality (April 1994) DEAR 952-223.74 Nuclear Facility Safety Applicability (April 1994)
- Section J List of Documents, Exhibits, and Other Attachments
- Section L Instructions, Conditions, and Notices to Offerors L.4 Proposal Preparation Instruction, Volume II, Past Performance, Technical and Regulatory Proposal
- Section M Evaluation Factors M.3 Technical Evaluation Factors



TWRS Privatization

6994SS1 / 27-55

Section J: List of Documents, Exhibits, & Other Attachments

Documents which define proposed radiological and Nuclear Safety Regulatory Approach for TWRS Exivatization Contractors

TW/RS Privatization

- 1. Concept of the DOE Regulatory Process for Radiological and Nuclear Safety for TWRS Privatization Contractors
- 2. Top-Jevel Radiological and Nuclear Safety Standards and Principals for TWRS Privatization Contractors
- 3. Guide for establishing a Set for Essential Radiological and Nuclear Safety Standards and Requirements for TWRS Privatization
- 4. DOE Regulatory Process for Radiological and Nuclear Safety for TWRS Privatization Contractors



69:45511/27-56

Section L: Instructions, Conditions, and Notices to Offerors

Safety Basis for Evaluating Contractor's Proposal

- Description of technology and processes
- Preliminary Hazard Analysis of processes and technology
- Strategy for mitigating hazardous conditions
- Basis for Integrated Standards-Based Safety Management Program
- Proposed standards for radiation protection of workers under accident conditions
- m Draft Quality Assurance Plan



69945S11/27 57